## Thinking for a Change (T4C) Logic Model

Inputs/Resources	Activities	Outputs	Shorter Term Outcomes	Longer Term Outcomes
CJCC, Judges, Probation	Ongoing training, evaluation and	Proficient co-facilitation and	Improved cognitive	Recidivism – no more
	supervision of co-facilitators by	program delivery as measured	restructuring as measured	than 30% of participants
T4C-trained co-facilitators	fidelity expert(s)	by T4C Evaluation Checklists –	by a pre and post test	who complete the
T 1 0 0 00		100% sessions	scores of the cognitive	program will recidivate
Implementation plan for staff	Annual model fidelity site visit	N	distortions subscales (SC,	with a new adjudication
growth and supervision	F 11 41 4	Maintain T4C attendance	<i>BO</i> , <i>NM</i> , & <i>AW</i> ) of the	within 1 year of T4C
T4C curriculum and program	Enroll participants	roster – 100% attendance	"How I Think	program
materials (e.g., manual,	Conduct orientation meeting with	(with make-ups) & group size retained to 8	Questionnaire."	
visuals)	stakeholders/referral sources	participants	Immunud on sint skills	
visuais)	stakeholders/referral sources	participants	Improved social skills development as measured	
Program schedule	Conduct orientation with	100% group member	by a pre and post-test	
1 Togram semenano	participants and parents to	participation in group sessions	overall HIT summary score	
Group room and materials	prepare and motivate them;	participation in group sessions	and the overt (OV)	
(easel pad, white board,	consent forms signed, review	Parents and other significant	subscale score on the	
desks, etc.)	group rules and regulations,	adults receive orientation &	"How I Think	
	schedule and available incentives	program description - 100%	Questionnaire".	
Target population (8-12 youth)		sessions	(	
assessed as having PDRA score	Track participant progress by		Improved problem solving	
2 or higher, delinquent charge,	administering pre and post-tests	100% completed pre and post-	skills development as	
deficiencies in cognitive	(e.g., How I Think	tests (e.g., How I Think	measured by a pre and post	
distortions (self-reflection	Questionnaire)	Questionnaire)	test scores of the	
capacity), social skills, and		<b>-</b>	behavioral referent	
behavioral concerns leading to	Coordinate with CJCC,	Data collection forms and/or	subscales (OD, PA, L, & S)	
poor problem solving skills	Probation, Court to collect data	files	on the "How I Think	
Policies regarding referral,	and performance measures &	Performance measures	Questionnaire."	
intake, program expectations,	outcomes	refrormance measures		
data collection, sanctions &	Deliver the three program	100% completed homework		
incentives, and measures for	components (Cognitive	assignments		
successful completion	Restructuring, Social Skills, &	ussignments		
r	Problem Solving) curriculum in	80% program successful		
Program materials to share with	order two times per week, 1.5	completion rate		
court, families, caregivers,	hours per session, for 25 lessons	_		
community				
	Assign, review, and collect			
Participant incentives (max \$25	homework			
per youth)				
				: 11 . 10.41